ISSN: 2582-7219



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.206

Volume 8, Issue 9, September 2025

© 2025 IJMRSET | Volume 8, Issue 9, September 2025 DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2025.0809048

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Subhas Chandra Bose's Political Ideology and Its Impact on the Indian Independence Movement

Dr. Sucheta Gupta

Associate Professor, Department of Political Science, Government College Bibirani, Rajasthan, India

ABSTRACT: Subhas Chandra Bose developed a political ideology that combined militant nationalism, a commitment to complete independence, and a practical form of socialism oriented toward rapid national modernisation. His thinking rejected gradualist or dominion-status approaches and insisted that organised force and mass mobilisation were necessary to end colonial rule. Bose's ideas found organisational expression in the Forward Bloc inside India and, later, in the Indian National Army and the Provisional Government of Free India established in Southeast Asia. Although the military campaign of 1944 ended in withdrawal, the subsequent trials of Indian National Army officers in Delhi produced widespread public mobilisation and revealed the declining reliability of Indian troops under British command. Bose's ideology expanded the strategic options available to the nationalist movement, reinforced the demand for uncompromising independence, and contributed to the political conditions that hastened the end of colonial authority.

KEYWORDS : Subhas Chandra Bose; political ideology; militant nationalism; Forward Bloc; Indian National Army; Azad Hind; Indian independence; socialism; Red Fort trials

I. INTRODUCTION

The Indian independence movement contained several distinct currents of thought and method. While the dominant strand associated with Mahatma Gandhi emphasised non-violent mass civil disobedience and a vision of social and economic reconstruction centred on the village, other leaders argued that political freedom required both mass mobilisation and the capacity for organised force. Subhas Chandra Bose articulated one of the clearest expressions of this second position. His political ideology combined an uncompromising demand for complete independence with a programme of rapid industrialisation, state-directed economic planning, and secular national unity.

Bose's ideas developed through his experience inside the Indian National Congress, his disagreements with its senior leadership, and his later efforts to create parallel institutions of government and army outside India. The Forward Bloc, formed in 1939, gave organisational form to his critique of gradualism. The Indian National Army and the Provisional Government of Free India, established in 1943, attempted to translate that critique into concrete political and military action. Although the military operations of 1944 failed to drive the British from India, the political consequences of those efforts proved significant. The trials of Indian National Army officers at the Red Fort in 1945–46 generated intense public support and exposed weaknesses in the loyalty of Indian troops serving under colonial command.

Any assessment of the final phase of the anti-colonial struggle must therefore take account of Bose's ideological contribution. His insistence on complete independence, his attention to economic modernisation, and his willingness to employ organised force broadened the range of strategies available to nationalists and helped weaken the military foundations of British rule.

II. FORMATION AND CORE ELEMENTS OF BOSE'S POLITICAL IDEOLOGY

Bose's political thinking took shape during the 1920s and 1930s under the influence of both Indian nationalist currents and European political ideas. His early reading of Swami Vivekananda and Bankim Chandra Chattopadhyay encouraged a sense of national regeneration, while his experience of municipal administration in Calcutta and of imprisonment under colonial rule reinforced his conviction that political independence required organisational capacity as well as popular support. During a period of enforced residence in Europe he wrote The Indian Struggle, 1920–1934, in which he examined the course of the nationalist movement and set out his preference for a programme that joined complete independence with rapid economic development.

© 2025 IJMRSET | Volume 8, Issue 9, September 2025 DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2025.0809048

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

At the centre of Bose's ideology stood the demand for complete independence rather than dominion status within the British Empire. He argued that any settlement leaving defence and foreign affairs under British control would leave India exposed to external pressure and would fail to satisfy the aspirations of the people. This position distinguished him from those within the Congress who were prepared to accept limited self-government as an intermediate stage. Bose further maintained that non-violent methods, though useful in certain phases of the struggle, could not by themselves remove a power that possessed modern armed forces. He therefore left open the possibility of more direct forms of resistance and insisted that Indians must be prepared to confront colonial authority with organised force when the opportunity arose.

Economic modernisation formed a second major element of his thinking. Bose favoured a centralised state capable of rapid industrialisation, land reform, and the reduction of social inequalities. His socialism was practical rather than rigid. He studied Soviet methods of economic planning while remaining critical of the Soviet political system. During his term as Congress president in 1938 he supported the establishment of the National Planning Committee under Jawaharlal Nehru. He repeatedly warned that political independence without rapid industrial growth and agrarian reform would leave the country vulnerable to new forms of external dependence and internal inequality. These ideas distinguished his outlook both from the village-centred economic vision associated with Gandhi and from purely liberal constitutional approaches.

Secularism and national unity occupied a central place in Bose's ideology. He consistently opposed communal politics and sought to build institutions in which Indians of different religious backgrounds served together. The multi-communal composition of the Indian National Army and of the Provisional Government of Free India was presented as practical evidence that a united India was achievable. Bose also gave attention to the role of women, as shown by the creation of the Rani of Jhansi Regiment. His emphasis on discipline, sacrifice, and the subordination of personal interests to the national cause reflected a conception of politics that valued organisational strength and collective purpose.

III. IDEOLOGY IN PRACTICE WITHIN THE CONGRESS AND THE FORWARD BLOC

Bose entered the Indian National Congress in the early 1920s and soon associated himself with its more radical elements. He was elected General Secretary of the Congress in 1927 and used his positions to press for a clearer commitment to complete independence. Differences with the dominant leadership, particularly with Gandhi, became more pronounced during the 1930s. Bose maintained that the Congress should prepare for a decisive struggle once the limits of the 1935 constitutional reforms became plain. His writings from this period, though banned in India, circulated among younger nationalists and reinforced the view that gradual methods alone would not suffice.

In 1938 Bose was elected President of the Indian National Congress at the Haripura session. His presidential address called for the creation of a planning commission, the development of heavy industries, and an unambiguous commitment to complete independence. During his term the National Planning Committee was established. The following year, at the Tripuri session, he stood for a second term and defeated the candidate supported by Gandhi. The result led to the resignation of the Congress Working Committee. Unable to secure the cooperation of senior leaders, Bose resigned from the presidency in April 1939.

The events at Tripuri convinced him that the existing Congress leadership would not adopt a more militant programme. In May 1939 he founded the All India Forward Bloc as a platform for radical nationalists. The organisation criticised the Congress decision to offer conditional support to the British war effort and demanded an early launch of mass struggle for complete independence. Its programme stressed the rejection of any settlement that left British control over defence and foreign affairs intact, and the mobilisation of workers, peasants, and students. Although the Forward Bloc remained a minority group and faced colonial repression, it provided an organisational base for Bose's supporters inside India after he left the country. Bose's speeches of 1939 and 1940 warned that the war would be used by the British to strengthen their position and that Indians must not allow the opportunity to pass.

© 2025 IJMRSET | Volume 8, Issue 9, September 2025 DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2025.0809048

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

IV. TRANSLATION OF IDEOLOGY INTO THE INDIAN NATIONAL ARMY AND THE PROVISIONAL GOVERNMENT

By the end of 1940 Bose concluded that continued residence under British surveillance would prevent effective action. On the night of 16–17 January 1941 he left his house in Calcutta in disguise and eventually reached Berlin via the Soviet Union in April 1941. Once in Germany he established the Free India Centre and began radio broadcasts aimed at Indian audiences. He also organised a small Indian Legion from prisoners of war. The Legion remained limited in size and saw little combat. Bose's meetings with German officials left him doubtful about the seriousness of German interest in Indian independence. By 1942 he had turned his attention toward Japan and the Indian communities in Southeast Asia.

An earlier attempt to form an Indian National Army from prisoners of war in Singapore had collapsed in late 1942 because of disagreements with Japanese authorities. Bose arrived in Singapore in July 1943. On 4 July 1943 he assumed leadership of the reorganised force, known as the Azad Hind Fauj. He expanded recruitment among both prisoners and civilian Indians in Southeast Asia, created three divisions, and established a women's unit named the Rani of Jhansi Regiment under the command of Lakshmi Swaminathan. Political education accompanied military training. The force adopted the greeting Jai Hind and the slogan Dilli Chalo. Officers were chosen for both technical ability and commitment to the national cause. The mixed composition of the force was presented as evidence that the independence struggle could rise above communal divisions.

On 21 October 1943 Bose proclaimed the Provisional Government of Free India in Singapore. He became its Head of State, Prime Minister, and Minister of War. The government was recognised by Japan and several other Axis-aligned countries. It issued currency and postage stamps and claimed authority over the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. Although dependent on Japanese military support and never in control of large areas inside India, the provisional government provided a political framework for the Indian National Army and asserted that an independent Indian authority already existed. The Provisional Government issued a declaration of war against Britain and the United States in October 1943. These steps showed Bose's determination to present the Azad Hind movement as an alternative political authority rather than as a simple military auxiliary of Japanese forces.

In early 1944 units of the Indian National Army advanced into India alongside Japanese forces as part of the attempt to capture Imphal and Kohima. On 14 April 1944 Indian National Army troops raised the national flag at Moirang in Manipur. The advance soon encountered strong British resistance, difficult terrain, and serious supply problems. By July 1944 the combined forces were compelled to withdraw. Casualties from fighting, disease, and shortage of food were heavy. The campaigns did not achieve their military objective. They did, however, demonstrate that Indian soldiers were willing to fight under Indian political leadership against British forces. The logistical failures were made worse by the unequal nature of the alliance with Japan. Japanese commanders retained overall operational control, and Indian National Army units were often given secondary roles or left without adequate supplies. Bose repeatedly pressed for greater Indian autonomy in planning, but the deteriorating Japanese position limited what could be achieved.

V. POLITICAL IMPACT OF BOSE'S IDEOLOGY ON THE INDEPENDENCE MOVEMENT

The most significant impact of Bose's ideology appeared after the military defeat of 1944. After the war the British authorities decided to court-martial three senior officers of the Indian National Army—Shah Nawaz Khan, Prem Kumar Sahgal, and Gurbaksh Singh Dhillon—at the Red Fort in Delhi. The trial began in November 1945. The selection of one Hindu, one Muslim, and one Sikh officer was intended to illustrate the mixed composition of the force, yet it also highlighted the unity Bose had tried to achieve. Public reaction was immediate. Demonstrations and strikes occurred in many cities. The Congress formed a defence committee that included leading lawyers, and Jawaharlal Nehru appeared in court. The accused refused to accept the charges, stating that they had fought for India's freedom.
The intensity of public support led the authorities to commute the sentences. More significant was the effect inside the Indian armed forces. In February 1946 ratings of the Royal Indian Navy mutinied in Bombay and other ports. Smaller disturbances took place in the army and air force. British commanders recognised that Indian troops could no longer be relied upon to suppress large-scale internal unrest. This assessment, together with Britain's economic exhaustion after the war, contributed to the decision to accelerate the transfer of power. The trials therefore transformed a military defeat into a political event that weakened the principal instrument of colonial control. British assessments prepared after 1945

© 2025 IJMRSET | Volume 8, Issue 9, September 2025 DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2025.0809048

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

repeatedly recorded the damage done to the loyalty of Indian troops. Senior officers noted that many Indian soldiers now viewed the Indian National Army personnel as patriots rather than traitors.

Bose's ideology also influenced later discussions on economic planning and industrial policy. The National Planning Committee established during his Congress presidency continued to shape debates on state-led development. His insistence on secular national unity and on the multi-communal character of the Indian National Army offered a practical counter to communal divisions at a time when communal tensions inside India were increasing. The symbols he popularised, including the greeting Jai Hind and the idea of a national army, entered wider political usage. In the larger history of the independence movement, Bose represents the militant current that existed alongside the dominant non-violent tradition. His insistence on complete independence, his attention to industrial strength, and his willingness to employ organised force expanded the range of strategies available to nationalists.

VI. LIMITATIONS, DEBATES, AND THE QUESTION OF WARTIME ALLIANCES

Bose's decision to seek the support of Germany and Japan has remained the subject of continuing debate. He justified the step on the ground that India's independence could not be postponed until the end of the European war and that temporary alliances with the enemies of Britain were legitimate. He maintained that Indian forces would operate under Indian command and that the provisional government would determine its own policies after independence. In practice, Japanese authorities retained overall operational control during the 1944 campaign, and supply arrangements proved inadequate. The alliances carried clear moral and political costs because of the aggressive policies of the Axis powers. At the same time, the options available to Bose after the imprisonment of Congress leaders following the Quit India resolution were narrow. Historical assessments generally treat the alliances as a wartime expedient aimed at weakening British rule rather than as an endorsement of Axis ideology.

Contemporary observers and later historians have differed in their assessment of Bose's leadership style. Some have emphasised the authoritarian elements in his approach to organisation and discipline. Others have stressed the practical necessity of firm leadership under wartime conditions and the voluntary nature of recruitment into the Indian National Army. What is less disputed is the personal authority he exercised and the clarity with which he communicated the objective of complete independence. This combination of organisational capacity and communicative power enabled him to mobilise resources that had previously remained outside the reach of the main nationalist organisations inside India.

VII. LONG-TERM SIGNIFICANCE OF BOSE'S IDEOLOGICAL CONTRIBUTION

Bose's death, officially recorded as the result of injuries sustained in a plane crash at Taipei on 18 August 1945, has remained a subject of controversy. His ideological contribution to the freedom movement, however, is more firmly established. He showed that Indian leadership could mobilise prisoners of war and overseas communities, create parallel institutions of government and army, and confront British forces on the eastern frontier. Public memory of Bose has remained strong. Annual commemorations, the preservation of his writings, and continued scholarly interest reflect the place he occupies in national consciousness. For many Indians he embodies the combination of organisational capacity, personal sacrifice, and uncompromising commitment to freedom that characterised one important strand of the independence struggle.

The multi-communal composition of both the Indian National Army and the Provisional Government remains one of the most frequently noted features of his project. By placing officers and soldiers of different religious backgrounds under a single command structure he sought to demonstrate in practice that a united India was achievable. Bose's vision of a secular and modernising India offered an alternative emphasis within the broader nationalist movement. The historical record indicates that an armed effort organised outside India could still influence events inside the country and that ideological clarity on the question of complete independence retained political force even after military defeat.
Bose's conception of political organisation stressed the need for discipline and a clear chain of authority. He believed that a national movement facing a modern colonial state required more than spontaneous popular enthusiasm. In his view, effective action depended on trained cadres, coordinated planning, and the willingness of leaders and followers to accept collective direction. This emphasis appeared both in his work with volunteer groups in Bengal and in the structure he later imposed on the Indian National Army. Political instruction accompanied military training so that soldiers would understand the larger purpose for which they were fighting. Officers were selected not only for technical

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

competence but also for their commitment to the national cause. The resulting combination of organisational firmness and ideological clarity enabled Bose to mobilise groups that had previously remained outside the reach of the main Congress organisation.

The economic dimension of Bose's ideology received concrete expression in his support for planned industrial development. He argued that a free India would need heavy industries, a modern transport system, and a reformed agrarian structure if it was to secure genuine independence. Political sovereignty without economic strength, he maintained, would leave the country open to new forms of external pressure. During his Congress presidency he backed the creation of the National Planning Committee, which brought together experts to consider questions of industrial policy, power generation, and agricultural improvement. Although the committee's work was interrupted by the war, its establishment marked an early attempt to link the demand for political freedom with a programme of state-directed modernisation. Bose's writings from the late 1930s repeatedly returned to the theme that independence must be accompanied by rapid material progress if it was to meet the expectations of the people.

Bose's insistence on secular national unity was not merely rhetorical. In the institutions he created abroad he sought to demonstrate in practice that Indians of different religious backgrounds could serve under a single command structure. The Indian National Army included officers and soldiers from Hindu, Muslim, Sikh, and other communities. The Provisional Government of Free India likewise incorporated representatives from different regions and communities. Bose presented this composition as evidence that communal divisions need not prevent the formation of a united national authority. At a time when communal tensions inside India were increasing, the image of unity projected by these institutions carried political weight. The public defence of the three officers tried at the Red Fort, who themselves represented three major communities, further reinforced the message that the struggle for independence could rise above religious difference.

The Forward Bloc's activities in the early years of the war kept alive a radical current within the nationalist movement at a time when the Congress leadership was constrained by imprisonment or by its own strategic choices. Bose's speeches of this period repeatedly stressed that the war created an opportunity that should not be missed and that Indians must prepare for sacrifice. Although the organisation faced severe repression, its continued existence provided a point of reference for those inside India who later heard of Bose's activities abroad. In this way the Forward Bloc helped maintain a degree of organisational continuity between Bose's earlier work in India and his later efforts in Southeast Asia. The programme it advanced—complete independence, rejection of any settlement that left defence and foreign affairs under British control, and the mobilisation of workers, peasants, and students—remained consistent with the ideological positions Bose had developed inside the Congress.

The reorganisation of the Indian National Army under Bose involved more than the restoration of military formations. A political department conducted classes on Indian history, the nature of colonial rule, and the aims of the Azad Hind movement. Recruits received instruction that emphasised national cohesion and the subordination of personal or communal interests to the common cause. The adoption of simple, memorable slogans and a common form of greeting strengthened the sense of shared identity among the troops. Bose addressed large gatherings of Indian prisoners and civilians, stressing that the struggle for independence continued and that Indians abroad had a direct role to play. Recruitment proceeded steadily, and training camps were established in several locations. The creation of a separate women's regiment attracted volunteers from Indian families resident in Malaya and Singapore and signalled that the movement regarded women as full participants in the national effort.

The military operations of 1944 revealed both the determination of Indian National Army units and the severe constraints under which they operated. The advance toward Imphal and Kohima took place in difficult terrain and under monsoon conditions. Supply lines proved inadequate, and Japanese air support diminished as the wider war turned against the Axis powers. Indian National Army formations suffered heavy losses from combat, malaria, and shortage of food. Despite these hardships, several units reached Indian soil and raised the national flag at Moirang. The subsequent withdrawal did not erase the political significance of the fact that an Indian force under Indian command had crossed the frontier with the explicit aim of ending British rule. British intelligence reports of the period noted the potential effect of these events on the morale of Indian troops still serving in the British Indian Army.

After the Japanese surrender in 1945, large numbers of Indian National Army personnel were taken into custody. The British decision to hold public trials at the Red Fort rather than deal with the cases through summary military procedure

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

reflected an underestimation of the political impact the proceedings would generate. Once details of the Indian National Army's composition and objectives became widely known inside India, public sympathy shifted decisively toward the accused. Student organisations, trade unions, and local political committees organised protest meetings. The Congress leadership, which had earlier differed with Bose on questions of method, now defended the officers as patriots. The resulting pressure compelled the authorities to modify their approach to subsequent cases. The intensity of public support demonstrated that Bose's ideological message of complete independence and national unity retained force even after the military defeat of the force he had created.

British assessments prepared after 1945 repeatedly recorded the harm done to the loyalty of Indian troops. Senior officers observed that many Indian soldiers now regarded personnel of the Indian National Army as patriots rather than traitors. Any plan to employ the army against large-scale civil unrest therefore carried the risk of refusal of orders or open mutiny. This recognition altered the strategic calculations of the colonial government more decisively than the military setbacks of 1944. The decision to accelerate constitutional negotiations reflected, among other factors, the realisation that the military foundation of British rule had been seriously weakened. The mutiny in the Royal Indian Navy in February 1946 provided the clearest evidence that the Indian National Army trials had affected the armed forces. Ratings in Bombay, Karachi, and other ports refused to obey orders and raised nationalist slogans. Although the mutiny was eventually suppressed, it confirmed British fears that the army and navy could no longer be counted upon as reliable instruments of internal control.

The long-term significance of Bose's ideological contribution also lies in the way it expanded the geographical and social reach of the freedom struggle. By operating from outside India and by mobilising Indians who had been beyond the reach of the main Congress organisation, he internationalised the movement and linked it to the global conflict of the Second World War. The subsequent political mobilisation around the trials showed that even a militarily defeated force could become a catalyst for mass action. In these respects Bose's career illustrates the complex relationship between military failure and political success in anti-colonial struggles. His insistence that complete independence required both mass mobilisation and organised force offered an alternative to strategies that relied primarily on constitutional pressure or non-violent protest. The historical record indicates that this alternative retained the capacity to influence events inside India even when its military expression ended in withdrawal.

Bose's early experience in municipal administration in Calcutta provided him with insights into problems of urban governance, public health, and education. These practical lessons later informed his thinking about the institutions a free India would require. During his periods of imprisonment he continued to read widely, engaging with European political thought and economic theory. This combination of administrative experience and intellectual preparation equipped him for the larger organisational tasks he undertook after leaving India. The years spent in Bengal politics also gave him a clear understanding of the strengths and limitations of mass mobilisation under colonial conditions. He recognised that popular enthusiasm alone would not suffice against a modern state apparatus and that sustained organisational work was essential.

The proclamation of the Provisional Government of Free India on 21 October 1943 was accompanied by a formal declaration of war against Britain and the United States. The government established ministries responsible for finance, publicity, and manpower recruitment. It also issued its own currency notes and postage stamps, which circulated in areas under Japanese occupation. These measures, though limited by wartime conditions, served to present the Azad Hind movement as an alternative political authority rather than as a purely military auxiliary. Recognition by Japan and other Axis-aligned states gave the provisional government a measure of international standing, even while its practical control remained confined to territories already occupied by Japanese forces. Bose's assumption of the roles of Head of State, Prime Minister, and Minister of War reflected his view that political and military leadership must be closely integrated if the struggle for independence was to succeed.

Historians have noted that the Indian National Army's multi-communal character offered a practical answer to colonial claims that India was irreconcilably divided along religious lines. The presence of Hindu, Muslim, and Sikh officers and soldiers under a single command structure challenged the idea that communal differences made national unity impossible. The public defence of the three officers tried at the Red Fort further reinforced this message. At a time when communal tensions inside India were rising, the image of unity projected by the Indian National Army and its trials carried political weight that extended beyond the immediate fate of the accused. Bose's consistent opposition to

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

communal politics and his insistence on secular institutions therefore formed an important part of his ideological contribution to the independence movement.

The escape from Calcutta in January 1941 required careful preparation and the assistance of trusted associates. Bose travelled under an assumed identity, employing a combination of road and rail transport to reach the frontier region. The crossing into Afghanistan and the subsequent journey through Soviet territory to Germany involved diplomatic contacts arranged through Italian intermediaries. The success of the escape demonstrated both the limitations of British surveillance and Bose's willingness to accept personal risk in order to continue political work outside India. Once in Europe he devoted considerable effort to establishing channels of communication with India and to exploring the possibility of military assistance. The experience gained during this period proved useful when he later organised larger formations in Southeast Asia.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Subhas Chandra Bose's political ideology combined a demand for complete independence with a programme of rapid economic modernisation, secular national unity, and readiness for organised force. From his work inside the Congress and the formation of the Forward Bloc to the command of the Indian National Army and the proclamation of the Provisional Government of Free India, he sought to translate these ideas into concrete organisational and military action. The campaigns of 1944 ended in failure on the battlefield. The trials of Indian National Army officers that followed converted that failure into a political challenge that undermined the loyalty of Indian troops to the colonial state and thereby hastened the end of British rule. Bose's wartime alliances remain open to criticism, yet they occurred within the constraints of a global war. His ideological contribution expanded the strategic range of the independence movement and demonstrated that a militant and modernising nationalism could influence the final crisis of colonial authority.

REFERENCES

1. Bose, S. C. (1964). The Indian struggle, 1920–1942 (S. K. Bose & S. Bose, Eds.). Asia Publishing House.
2. Bose, S. C. (1997). An Indian pilgrim: An unfinished autobiography (S. K. Bose & S. Bose, Eds.). Oxford University Press.
3. Bose, S. (2011). His Majesty's opponent: Subhas Chandra Bose and India's struggle against empire. Harvard University Press.
4. Gordon, L. A. (1990). Brothers against the Raj: A biography of Indian nationalists Sarat and Subhas Chandra Bose. Columbia University Press.
5. Fay, P. W. (1993). The forgotten army: India's armed struggle for independence, 1942–1945. University of Michigan Press.
6. Toye, H. (1959). The springing tiger: A study of a revolutionary. Cassell.
7. Lebra, J. C. (1971). Jungle alliance: Japan and the Indian National Army. Asia Pacific Press.
8. Getz, M. J. (2002). Subhas Chandra Bose: A biography. McFarland.
9. Chakrabarty, B. (1990). Subhas Chandra Bose and middle class radicalism: A study in Indian nationalism, 1928–1940. I.B. Tauris.
10. Hayes, R. (2011). Subhas Chandra Bose in Nazi Germany: Politics, intelligence and propaganda, 1941–43. Hurst.
11. Ayer, S. A. (1951). Unto him a witness: The story of Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose in East Asia. Thacker.
12. Khan, S. N. (1946). My memories of I.N.A. and its Netaji. Rajkamal Publications.
13. Sahgal, L. (1997). A revolutionary life: Memoirs of a political activist. Kali for Women.
14. Ghosh, K. K. (1969). The Indian National Army: Second front of the Indian independence movement. Meenakshi Prakashan.
15. Pandit, H. N. (1988). Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose: From Kabul to the Battle of Imphal. Sterling.
16. Sareen, T. R. (1996). Japan and the Indian National Army. Agam Prakashan.
17. Chandra, B. (1989). India's struggle for independence. Penguin Books.
18. Brown, J. M. (1985). Modern India: The origins of an Asian democracy. Oxford University Press.
19. Bayly, C. A., & Harper, T. (2007). Forgotten wars: Freedom and revolution in Southeast Asia. Harvard University Press.
20. Mansergh, N. (Ed.). (1970–1983). The transfer of power 1942–7 (Vols. 1–12). Her Majesty's Stationery Office.



INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | ijmrset@gmail.com |

www.ijmrset.com